



Thanks for stopping by!

Here is the proof that Muslim leaders attack Islam's "triple towers": Quran, Hadith, Sharia. What started in 2020 already has now become increasingly known among the masses:

Quran

Narrative of preservation of Qur'an has holes

Dr Yasir Qadhi, one of the world's leading Islamic scholars, stated in a candid interview regarding this contentious subject, as early as June 2020:

"The standard narrative has holes in it. That's what I am gonna say. The standard narrative does not answer some very pressing questions.... This is no longer hidden news. This issue of Ahruf ("editions", "styles", "ways", "forms" and "modes " of the Quran)ⁱ and Qira'at (recitations or readings of it)ⁱⁱ has troubled the Ulema (religious scholars) from the very beginning of time. It's nothing new. And there are 15 opinions about this. None of them answer fully the questions that are raised. Some of them answer more than others. ...I have never brought up this issue myself...It should never be brought up in public...I don't like these idiots and they are idiots (walhai, Arabic: I swear to God) because they are the ones that caused it. In this issue they are utter idiots who did something haram. I don't like saying this. This is not something you discuss among the masses...it is not wise. If you don't understand Qira'at, let it be. That's why I never did it. And that's why even when they accused me, I didn't defend myself because I would rather people have doubt about me than the Quran..."ⁱⁱⁱ

In another video Dr Yasir Qadhi summed up the issue, *"The moment you start to historicize (treat as historical, to present events in a historic context) the Qur'an, in my opinion, you have nothing left."*^{iv}

Current Quran contains thousands of scribal errors

Two articles published by Muslims in January and July 2020 already in the Saudi press call to revise thousands of scribal errors in the current Quran and to re-examine Islamic texts in the light of new insights.^v How much the times have moved from when it was claimed by Muslims that the Quran was perfectly preserved with not one word having changed.^{vi} Christians do not make such extreme

statements about the Bible. They maintain the fact that it has a history of development, like all books.

The well-known textual scholars Westcott and Hort came to the conclusion that *“only one-sixtieth of all variant readings in the New Testament would rise above ‘trivialities’. This leaves a text 98.33 percent pure.”*^{vii} The famous historian Philip Schaff said that none of these variant readings that are clearly marked in the footnotes affected, *“an article of faith or a precept of duty which is not abundantly sustained by other and undoubted passages, or by the whole tenor of Scripture teaching.”*^{viii}

Earliest Arabic Quran manuscripts have thousands of corrections

Dr Dan Brubaker has found thousands of corrections in very old Arabic Qu’ran manuscripts.^{ix} Many of these were arguably made to conform to the Hafs reading of the Arabic Qur’an, that became “canonized” in today’s standard Qur’an text published by the Azhar University of Cairo in 1924.

Those corrections concern consonantal variants and NOT different Qira’at, or Ahruf variants. Consonantal variants have to do with the bare Arabic words themselves, better known as the *rasm*, or the actual lettered Arabic script which make up the Arabic words. The Arabic skeletal script are letters without diacritical marks (dots above and below the letters added decades later).^x

Hadith

In April 2021 the ruler of Saudi Arabia, Crown Prince Mohammad bin Salman (MBS), announced in an interview^{xi} focusing on the future of his country on the Saudi TV channel, Al-Arabiya, that he plans to eliminate many hadiths (sayings of the Prophet).

Kamel Abderrahmani writes in an article^{xii}: *MBS says the reform is clear: “The government, where Sharia is concerned, has to implement Quran regulations and teachings in mutawater (well-known) hadiths^{xiii}, and to look into the veracity and reliability of ahad hadiths^{xiv}, and to disregard khabar hadiths^{xv} entirely, unless if a clear benefit is derived from it for humanity. So, there should be no punishment related to a religious matter except when there is a clear Quranic stipulation, and this penalty will be implemented based on the way that the Prophet applied it.”*

In this case, according to this criterion, only 10% of the valid hadiths remain, which are those converging with the Koran. In addition, some Islamic laws would disappear, such as stoning, scourging, amputating the hands of thieves, as well as Islamic criminal law laws, such as the death of the apostate and homosexuals.

Husein Mashni discusses the extraordinary Saudi Arabian Hadith revolution with Father Zakaria Botros and how it has already been agreed in principle by Al Azhar, Egypt's most prestigious university for Islamic learning.^{xvi}

Sharia

In a recent interview conducted by Rev Mark Leach (ML), Dr Mark Durie (MD) defined well in which sense Sharia law has been under attack by Muslim leaders. This is what he had to say in the context of the recent Taliban takeover in Afghanistan^{xvii}:

The biggest problem for Islam is that wherever there have been attempts to reintroduce the utopian foothold of the future caliphate, they've failed. Most Iranians now hate Islam, since the 1979 revolution has shown them what sharia law does. Many Afghans fear the Taliban. The Muslim Brotherhood was expelled violently and suppressed in Egypt after decades of promising that “Islam is the solution”.

Nowhere has there been a successful Islamic revivalist implementation that has won enduring popular support. It has produced poverty, brokenness, oppression, and pain. So, this Islamic grand narrative is in trouble. In some ways that drives the fury. If your whole theological basis is coming under attack, one response – it's the cognitive dissonance response – is to double down and be more determined in pursuing this fruitless goal, but you'll lose a lot of people along the way. That's why there are churches in Germany full of converts from asylum seekers who fled Iraq and Syria. They said, "If this is true Islam, we want to follow Jesus." So, Islam's going through the most profound crisis. The crisis is now not the defeat by the Western powers, but the failure of Islam to realize utopian promises.

Is Christ the solution?

Dr Mark Durie (MD) continues in that same interview, giving an answer to that thought provoking question:

... I think a nation does need a big idea of its identity and its future, and one of the things that's most troubling to me is that nations like America, European countries, and Australia have forgotten what had made us who we are, and we are marching away from it aggressively and rejecting its foundation. Now, one of its foundations is a particular understanding of the human person, that we are sinful, and that society needs to build in constraints to manage that. That's why we have a separation of powers. That separation of powers is because of the doctrine of sin. Islam doesn't have any separation of powers, and it doesn't have any doctrine of sin, and that's a huge world of difference.

ML: Hang on, hang on. Islam doesn't have a doctrine of sin?

MD: No. It sees sin as not a big deal. There's transgression, disobedience, not walking on the straight path, but it doesn't have a view that human nature is fundamentally sinful.

ML: Okay. So, if you want to obey sharia, you can. If you want to be a perfect human being...

MD: If you're rightly guided if there's proper guidance. If you're following proper guidance.

And it's not a problem for a sinful person to exist in God's heaven. Atonement is not necessary, and the view in the Quran is that human beings are born Muslims, actually. They're born innocent, perfect, and Islam's job is to make sure they receive the guidance that they need to stay on track. So human beings are weak and easily led astray and the state needs to impose the truth upon them. But there isn't this view that there must be checks and balances, in that same way, against the reality of human sin, that power can corrupt.

These perspectives have been lost in the West. So that's why I think the Americans fundamentally misunderstood the depth of the problem with sharia culture, and they felt that they could just liberate people and that would be that. It troubles me in the West that we've lost track of what are Christian foundations. I think the Chinese were right. Democracy makes sense because of a Christian base, but we've rejected the base, and as a result, our worldviews are populated by a host of lies about ourselves – about the world, about history – and we're trying to continue with these lies, these bad ideas that have gone deep: that all religions are the same, that we are just basically progressing, that future generations will be wiser and better than us. These ideas are just very mistaken, and they provide a very shaky foundation for the future. That does trouble me deeply. That troubles me as much as the rise of militant Islam.

ML: Right. Because, in the end, as Jesus said, "You'll know the truth and the truth will set you free," but you need to know how reality works if you want to order your life and live well. And reality is

that, with human sin, we have an ineluctable tendency to mess things up and you must acknowledge that and write that into your politics and into your economics and into your understanding of life.

MD: Yes. Solzhenitsyn, after years in the gulag, said the line between good and evil runs down the middle of every human heart, and he was speaking about the guards in the gulag as well as the prisoners.

ML: Yes, that's right.

MD: He didn't make any distinction between them. There was no innocent party and no totally guilty party either. There was a battle going on for good and evil in every person. That awareness is being lost in our culture, amongst the elites. It still exists there are still Christians around who have a Christian world view, but they find themselves speaking a language and living in a world that so many people around them don't know of anymore and don't understand.

ML: So, what then, to quote, Francis Schaeffer's great book, "How then should we live?" If there's someone here who is maybe listening or watching this who wants to live as a follower of Jesus, what should we do? How do we respond to this in 30 seconds? Give us the answer, Mark. ...

The 30 seconds is a joke. Take your time.

MD: We need to have a radical Christianity. We need to be bold about that and unashamed. We need to be comfortable to be different from the society around us. We need to resist that inner push to silence ourselves because we're saying something that people might think is politically incorrect or not in keeping with the mood of the times. We need an in-your-face capacity to hold our ground in the face of all the pressure in our culture.

We need to follow Jesus. We need to believe in the God who determines the destiny of nations. We need to believe in a God of miracles and a God who saves. We need to believe in the reality of divine judgment, of heaven and hell. We need to have confidence in our understanding of the political world. We need to have a commitment to a Christian view of marriage, of freedom, of the human person.

I think one of the most important topics in all this is our anthropology. We need a biblical understanding of what it means to be human. That is where I think often Christians have lost the plot. They've bought into the view of the human person that's become dominant in our culture.

Conclusion

Every year we remember the shocking Islamic terrorist attacks on the twin towers and the pentagon in the USA, in what has become known as 9/11. On the 20th anniversary of what many look at as a dreadful disaster, the careful observer notes a twist of irony: Muslim leaders attack Islam's "triple towers", Quran, Hadith, Sharia. All three are crumbling before our eyes.

Jesus is indeed the solution for, *"Christ is the end of the law, with the result that there is righteousness for everyone who believes."* (Romans 10:4)

Let us be careful, however, not to misunderstand what the Bible is saying. The law found in it is *"is holy, and the commandment is holy and righteous and good"* (Romans 7:12). Christians do not ignore the law and live however they please but, *"On the contrary, we uphold the law"* (Romans 3:31). It means because Christ has fulfilled the law on behalf of those who repent and believe in him, that they are dead to the law as a legal covenant. Nevertheless, with the help of the indwelling Holy Spirit of God they are still following those parts of the law that show them how to love God and their neighbour, meaning whoever is in need:

“Likewise, my brothers, you also have died to the law through the body of Christ, so that you may belong to another, to him who has been raised from the dead, in order that we may bear fruit for God” (Romans 7:4).

“But now we are released from the law, having died to that which held us captive, so that we serve in the new way of the Spirit and not in the old way of the written code.” (Romans 7:6)

“Love does no wrong to a neighbour; therefore, love is the fulfilling of the law.” (Romans 13:10).

Christ, not the law, is the new Master of all who repent and believe in him. They are not saved by obeying the law but they are saved to obey the law. Good News indeed! What do you think?

Your opinion is important to us. Please contact the publishers if you decided to follow Jesus or if you have questions and comments.

info@christianityexplained.net

Version: 11.9.21

ⁱ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ahruf#Etymology>

ⁱⁱ <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Qira%27at>

ⁱⁱⁱ The about 25 minutes of Dr Qadhi’s interview under discussion were later deleted because it upset many Muslims and was seized upon by Christians. However, the interview was downloaded prior to that and a detailed analysis of the main points can be watched here:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vstGbZkjUcw&t=754s>

In this video, Ahmed Deedat, Zakir Naik, Shabir Ally, Mohammed Hijab, Ali Dawah, Mufti Abu Layth, Yasir Qadhi, Adnan Rashid, Shehzad Saleem, Hamza Yusuf, Adnan Abdul Qadir, Haitham al-Haddad, Abdulrahman Hassan, Muhammad Salah, and Aslam Abdullah add to the discussion:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ANo6dXc2i-0&feature=youtu.be>

^{iv} https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EmOjJNN72qY&feature=emb_logo (at 1:42 mins) Dr Yasir Qadhi frankly admits further, *“the Shubu-hat” (doubtful matters) that I was exposed to at Yale, some of that I still do not have answers to* (at 0.01 mins) and, *“at the end of the day, not every “Ul-um” (sciences) is beneficial. Sometimes, yes ignorance is bliss”* (at 2:45 mins).

^v <https://www.memri.org/reports/articles-saudi-press-call-amend-thousands-scribal-errors-quran-reexamine-islamic-texts-light>

^{vi} <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B5opKCSgvQ0&t=129s>

^{vii} ‘General Introduction to the Bible’ by N.L. Geisler and W.E. Nix, Moody Press, Chicago, 1986, page 365

^{viii} ‘Companion to the Greek Testament and English Version’ by Philip Schaff, Harper, New York, 1883, page 177

^{ix} <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cEBfRGa6Er4&t=11s>

^x To learn more about the latest developments in the work of Dr Dan Brubaker click here:

<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCP-g6LEOwXpMwu5PhMCIxhw> and <https://www.danielbrubaker.com/>

^{xi} <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eriiLN1Xla0>

^{xii} http://www.asianews.it/news-en/Mohammed-Bin-Salman-attempts-to-reform-Islam-53085.html#_edn2

^{xiii} The hadiths are linked to a large number of transmitters, so it is impossible for them to have agreed on the falsehood, at each link in the chain of transmission, from start to finish.

^{xiv} It is said of a hadith that it is transmitted by an important number of people, but their number does not reach that of the well-known hadith.

^{xv} It is different. Hadith is what comes from the Prophet, but information [al-khabar] is what comes from another.

^{xvi} <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SX1ldruDitM&t=2012s>

^{xvii} https://www.newenglishreview.org/custpage.cfm?frm=190825&sec_id=190825